

mendment to Fair nd RU4 zones.	field Local Environmental P	lan 2013 to allow seconda	ry dwellings in RU2
Proposal Title :	Amendment to Fairfield Loca and RU4 zones.	l Environmental Plan 2013 to a	llow secondary dwellings in RU2
Proposal Summary	The proposal seeks to amend dwellings' in the RU2 Rural L	t Fairfield Local Environmental andscape and RU4 Primary Pro	Plan 2013 to allow 'secondary oduction Small Lot zones.
PP Number :	PP_2013_FAIRF_002_00	Dop File No :	13/09624
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	07-Jun-2013	LGA covered :	Fairfield
Region :	Sydney Region West	RPA :	Fairfield City Council
State Electorate :	FAIRFIELD	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Policy		
Location Details			
Street :			
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :
	I RU2 Rural Landscape and RU4 ecil Park.	Primary Production Small Lot :	zones in Horsley Park and
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Georgina Ballantine		
Contact Number :	0298601172		
Contact Email :	georgina.ballantine@planning.	nsw.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	nils		
Contact Name :	Nelson Mu		
Contact Number :	0297250313		
Contact Email :	nmu@fairfieldcity.nsw.gov.au		
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Rachel Cumming		
Contact Number :	0298601556		
Contact Email :	rachel.cumming@planning.nsv	w.gov.au	
Land Release Dat	a		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro West Central subregion	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	988
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	To the best of the knowledge of relation to communications and West has not met with any lobby Director been advised of any me concerning this proposal.	meetings with Lobbyists ha	as been complied with. Sydney sal, nor has the Regional
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment :	The Department's Lobbyist Cont have been no records of contact		
upporting notes			
Notes :	DELEGATION OF PLAN-MAKING Council currently has delegation delegation of the plan-making fu resolution of 28 May 2013).	ı to make a plan. Council ha	
	POLITICAL DONATIONS DISCLC The political donation disclosure requires public disclosure of don Planning system.	e laws commenced on 1 Oc	
	The disclosure requirements une relevant planning applications a		
	The term relevant planning appli	cation means:	
	"A formal request to the Minister of an environmental planning ins		General to initiate the making
	Planning Circular PS 08-009 spe Minister or Director General is re any).		
	No disclosures were provided fo	r this planning proposal	
External Supporting			

ind RU4 zones.			
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	jectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The proposal seeks t	The proposal seeks to:	
	use that is permitted	al Environmental Plan 2013 to allow 'secondary dwellings' as a land with consent in all RU2 Rural Landscape and RU4 Primary Production hin the Fairfield Local Government Area (LGA);	
		ary dwellings provide an alternative form of housing within the rural LGA without changing subdivision patterns; and	
	 provide an alternativ population. 	ve form of low cost housing in rural areas to assist an ageing	
Explanation of prov	isions provided - s55	(2)(b)	
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes		
Comment :	insertion of the land (ne will be achieved by amending the Fairfield LEP 2013 through use "secondary dwellings" in alphabetical order into Item 2 Permitted and use tables applying to the RU2 Rural Landscape and RU4 Primary s zones.	
Justification - s55 (2	2)(c)		
a) Has Council's strateg	gy been agreed to by the D	Director General? No	
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones	
* May need the Director	r General's agreement	 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 2.3 Heritage Conservation 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 	
Is the Director Gene	ral's agreement required?	Yes	
c) Consistent with Stand	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	der 2006 : Yes	
d) Which SEPPs have t	he RPA identified?	SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury–Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997) SEPP (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009	
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :			
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes	
If No, explain :	Direction 1.2 Rural	Zones	
	dwellings in the RU	y Dwelling Study estimates the potential for up to 950 secondary 4 zones and 38 secondary dwellings in the RU2 zones by 2031 (refer ouncil's 'Rural Lands - Secondary Dwellings Issues Paper').	
	floor area of the dw at 180m2, to include	s of secondary dwellings are likely to be far lower. The maximum ellings would be 60m2, with the total maximum 'footprint' estimated e open space, access and parking provisions. The dwellings must be 10 metres of existing dwellings or attached to a new principal	

Due to the small scale of secondary dwellings and proximity to primary dwellings, the proposal will have a negligible impact on agricultural practices. The amendment may encourage sustainability of agriculture and promote diversity and employment opportunities due to the provision of low cost housing close to areas of primary production. The proposal is considered to be of minor significance. **Direction 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries** Consistent Council's Secondary Dwellings Study found that the proposal to allow secondary dwellings will not generate a significant increase in residential density adjoining existing extractive industry. Under Council's City Wide DCP 2012, all residential development within 500m of extractive industry requires a report detailing measures to mitigate potential impacts of noise and vibration from extractive industry. **Direction 2.1 Environmental Protection Zones** Consistent Secondary dwellings are likely to cause minimal impacts on riparian lands and biodiversity areas due to the following: - Fairfield Biodiversity Strategy identified key riparian lands in Horsley Park and Cecil Park and included a Conservation Significance Assessment of remnant vegetation; - E2 Environmental Conservation zones providing the highest level of protections for environmental lands. A riparian area, zoned E2, runs through areas of the RU4 zones;and - Local Clauses in Fairfield LEP 2013 and City Wide DCP 2012 apply controls to areas of riparian land and biodiversity and restrict tree removal. **Direction 2.3 Heritage Conservation** Consistent Secondary dwellings located on or within proximity to heritage items would need to address the provisions of Clause 5.10 Heritage conservation of Fairfield LEP 2013. **Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils** Consistent Council has stated that there are no known potential or actual acid sulfate soils in the subject lands. **Direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land** Consistent Potential for flooding in the subject lands has been identified in studies conducted previously by Council. All development is required to meet the provisions outlined in Council's City Wide DCP 2012: Chapter 11 Flood Risk Management and the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and Floodplain Development Manual 2005. Council considers that the minimum subdivision requirements of 10ha for RU2 zones and Tha for RU4 zones should provide ample site area for accommodating secondary dwellings on land that is not in the high risk flood risk precinct. This is considered to be acceptable. **Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection**

Consistent

Appendix F of Council's Secondary Dwellings Study identifies parts of the subject lands as being affected by bushfire hazard risk. Secondary dwelling proposals in the area would be assessed against the provisions of the Rural Fire Service's Planning for

.

nes.	
	Bushfire Protection 2006 guidelines.
	Direction 5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek
	Consistent Parts of the RU4 subject lands fall within the 20 Australian Noise Exposure Forecast (ANEF) contours associated with the proposed Badgerys Creek Airport. Due to the small scale of secondary dwellings, potential for development of the Badgerys Creek site would remain unaffected. An advisory note regarding the airport site and associated acoustic measures to protect against aircraft noise are already contained in Council's City Wide DCP 2012.
	Direction 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
	Consistent The proposal does not introduce provisions requiring concurrence, consultation or approval, nor does it nominate any site as designated development.
	Direction 6.1 Site Specific Provisions
	Consistent The provisions of the planning proposal are of minor significance and will allow the land use of secondary dwellings without imposing any development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in the principal environmental planning instrument being amended.
	Direction 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
	Consistent The proposal is consistent with the strategic directions, policies and actions contained in the 'Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036' and the 'draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney to 2031', as the proposal seeks to provide for a range of housing types, sizes and affordability levels to accommodate the needs of a growing and ageing population, as well as balancing land use on the city's fringe.
	State Environmental Planning Policy (Affordable Rental Housing) 2009
	Consistent The proposal supports the aims of this SEPP by facilitating new affordable rental housing by way of expanded zoning permissibility.
	The review of the SEPP (2010) made a recommendation to make secondary dwellings generally more permissible in urban zones. Further, consideration is being given to expanding the permissibility of secondary dwellings to rural areas (Zones RU1, RU2, RU4, RU5, RU6 and E4 and their equivalents)but to date this has not occurred, therefore this planning proposal is required.
	SREP 20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997)
	Consistent, but with recommendations. The small scale of the secondary dwellings minimises potential impacts on water quality within the Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment. Council has indicated that secondary dwelling applications must demonstrate adequate arrangements for the disposal of waste water in accordance with Council's On-Site Sewage Management Policy. Although the proposal is consistent with SREP 20, it is recommended that the Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment Authority be consulted with regard to the planning proposal.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment :

Some localised mapping was provided as part of the Secondary Dwellings Study. Whilst the Planning Proposal does not require a map amendment, the exhibition should be clear as to the localities to which the amendments apply.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

- Council's proposed consultation includes:
 - A letter to all property owners in the rural area;
 - A notice in the local newspapers;
 - Inclusion of information in the Council's newsletter 'City Life', if time permits; and
 - Letters to adjoining Councils (Blacktown, Liverpool and Penrith).

Council has not specified an exhibition timeframe, but has indicated that it will comply with the Gateway Determination.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date :	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The Fairfield Local Environmental Plan (LEP) 2013 was gazetted on 17 May 2013. This LEP came into effect on 31 May 2013.
	This planning proposal is seeking to amend the Fairfield LEP 2013.
Assessment Criteria	ι.
Need for planning proposal :	In response to public submissions during public exhibition of Fairfield LEP 2013, Council resolved to prepare a Rural Lands (Horsley Park and Cecil Park) Secondary Dwelling Study to assess the potential for secondary dwellings in the RU2 and RU4 zones in the Horsley Park and Cecil Park areas.
	The Study determined that permitting secondary dwellings will accommodate the changing demographics of the area by providing affordable, small scale housing.
·	The secondary dwellings will allow for provision of affordable accommodation for: - the ageing population, as retirement solutions or to provide 'age in place' care; - younger age groups, lone person households and couples without dependants households; and - local employees, particularly to assist in agricultural activities or providing property management for ageing property owners.

Consistency with strategic planning framework :	strategic planning fr Metropolitan Strateg	gy for Sydney 2031 and Draft Wes • future housing mix and affordat	olitan Plan for Sydney 2036; Draft st Central Subregional Strategy) as it	
	Plan 2010-2020, incl - Providing more aff - Addressing chang population; and	uding: fordable housing; ing requirements relating to diffe	es contained within the Fairfield City rent cultures and an ageing e an income (less than \$600 per week).	
	Fairfield City Counc	if's Rural Lands Study has been	placed on hold at this time.	
Environmental social economic impacts :	have any significant Environmental Cons	t environmental impact. The prop	mental provisions contained within	
	dwellings to be deve population (includin	ly to have a positive social impace eloped, the proposal provides po g 'age in place' care), sole perso agricultural workers.	et. By permitting low cost, small scale tential accommodation for the ageing n and small households and	
	dwellings may assis Rent from tenants m Secondary dwelling	st agricultural production by prov nay also assist local land-based l	of minor significance. Secondary riding accommodation for workers. Dusinesses by supplementing income. rity by negating the financial burden of main close to their families.	
Assessment Process	6			
Proposal type :	Routine	Community Consulta Period :	ation 28 Days	
Timeframe to make	12 Month	Delegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Cover Letter June 2013.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Attachment A - Rural Lands (Horsley Park and Cecil	Study	Yes
Park) Secondary Dwellings Study.pdf		
Attachment B - Secondary Dwellings Draft Planning	Proposal	Yes
Proposal.pdf		
Council Officers Report_May 2013.pdf	Study	Yes
Council Resolution 28 May 2013.pdf	Study	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

S.117 directions:	1.2 Rural Zones
	1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
	2.1 Environment Protection Zones
	2.3 Heritage Conservation
	4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
	4.3 Flood Prone Land
	4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
	5.8 Second Sydney Airport: Badgerys Creek
	7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the proposal proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. Consultation with:
	- Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment Authority;
	- Office of Environment and Heritage;
	- NSW Department of Primary Industries - Agriculture;
	 NSW Department of Primary Industries - Minerals and Petroleum;
	- NSW Rural Fire Services; and
	- Endeavour Energy
	- Telecommunication carrier.
	2. The Director General's delegate agrees that any inconsistencies with Section 117
	Direction 1.2 Rural Zones, 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements and 6.3 Site Specific
	Provisions are justified and/or of minor significance.
	3. Community consultation is required for a period of 28 days.
	4. The plan-making powers be delegated to Council in respect of this planning proposal.
	5. The planning proposal is to be completed within 12 months from the week following
	the date of the Gateway Determination.

Amendment to Fairfield Local Environmental Plan 2013 to allow secondary dwellings in RU2	
and RU4 zones.	

Supporting Reasons :	Council's Rural Lands (Horsley Park and Cecil Park) - Secondary Dwellings Study supports the need for affordable, small scale housing in the subject lands. The Study indicates that the impact on agricultural and environmental land will be minimal, while the social and economic impact could be positive. The proposal supports strategic state and local policies by providing low cost homes, encouraging a mix of housing types and supporting an ageing population. In light of the above, this planning proposal is considered to have merit for progression.		
Signature:	RTarming		
Printed Name:	Rachel Cumming Date: 21 June 2013		